

PORTERVILLE COLLEGE
Career Technical Education

TWO YEAR PROGRAM REVIEW

PROGRAM INFORMATION

Chair Name	Lupe Guillen	Date	4/2/2020
Department	Health Careers	Discipline	Registered Nursing
Faculty Name	Lupe Guillen		
Email	lguillen@portervillecollege.edu	Phone Number	(559) 791-2327
Program Plan Last Completed:	Semester: Spring	Year 2018	
Program Review Last Completed:	Semester: Spring	Year 2020	

1. MEETS DOCUMENTED LABOR MARKET DEMAND

Source of information (check all that apply):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Bureau of Labor Statistics (http://www.bls.gov)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State of California Labor Market Information (http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Advisory Committee Meeting held _____ (attach minutes)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Professional Association
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: Forecasts of RN Workforce in the Central Valley Calif. Region (UCSF, see attached); EMSI Data (see attached)

Findings Central RN shortage in 2018 17.3% which will worsen in coming years. Educational capacity in Central Valley is not large enough to maintain the RN workforce (UCSF, 2018);

2. DOES NOT REPRESENT UNNECESSARY DUPLICATION OF OTHER MANPOWER TRAINING PROGRAMS IN THE AREA

List programs from other institutions (private/fee-based/public):



Institution	Program	Distance to College	Institution Type	Approximate Enrollment
College of Sequoia	Nursing	33 miles	X Public Private	15,000
Bakersfield College	Nursing	50 miles	X Public Private	27,000

Findings Registered Nursing program serves the communities surrounding the City of Porterville. There is no unnecessary duplication of programs in the Porterville College service area.

3. DEMONSTRATED EFFECTIVENESS AS MEASURED BY EMPLOYMENT AND COMPLETION OF STUDENTS

Core Indicator Data Reviewed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date: 04/01/2020
Insert Scores Below: 2017-2018 and 2018-2019		
Success	Completion 100%/100%	Employment 90.48%/76.47%
Non-Traditional Participation 16.67%/21.57%	Non-Traditional Completion 16.67%/16.67%	
Findings	NT Participation/Completion below performance goals of 21%23%; All other Core Indicators meet Performance Goals	

REVIEW APPROVALS

Date	Signature	Title
4/2/2020		Division Chair
4/2/2020		Associate Dean Health Careers

Presentation to Curriculum Committee	Date
Presentation to College Council	Date
Presentation to Board of Trustees	Date

Forecasts of the Registered Nurse Workforce in the Central Valley Region of California

by Joanne Spetz
Healthforce Center at UCSF
December 2018

Abstract

The Central Valley region of California, which consists of the counties in the San Joaquin Valley, Gold Country, and Eastern Sierra, has a shortage of registered nurses (RNs). Projections of supply and demand through 2035 indicate that the RN shortage will worsen in coming years, because educational capacity and migration of RNs to the region are not large enough to keep up with population growth.



This report was developed in collaboration with HealthImpact and with funding from the California Community College Chancellors Office.

Background

Recent data suggest that a shortage of registered nurses (RNs) may be emerging in California. The Fall 2017 Survey of Nurse Employers found that many Chief Nursing Officers are experiencing difficulty recruiting RNs for specialized positions and that more than 85% of hospitals reported demand for RNs being greater than the available supply (Chu, Bates, & Spetz 2018). Hospital vacancy rates have been rising since 2013, reaching 6.3% in 2017. There also has been growth in the share of newly-graduated RNs reporting they are employed within 12 months of licensure, increasing from 59% in 2013 to 81% in 2017 (HealthImpact 2018). There is variation across regions in the reported difficulty of finding qualified staff, with some employers suggesting there is a surplus of recently-graduated nurses and others indicating severe shortfalls of nurses at all levels of experience.

Rising retirement rates contribute to the challenge of recruiting nurses, particularly those with specialized skills and experience (Buerhaus & Auerbach 2011). In addition, the implementation of the most significant components of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) – an expansion of Medi-Cal and the implementation of the Covered California health insurance exchange to facilitate insurance enrollment – reduced the share of nonelderly Californians without health insurance from 16.2% in 2011 (Charles 2015) to 8.1% in 2015 (Cohen et al. 2016). Growing numbers of insured people will demand more health care services, which in turn drives demand for health professionals, including RNs. Moreover, the ACA established programs to encourage improved care management in order to deliver health care more efficiently and effectively; this type of care provides incentives for health care systems to increase their utilization of RNs (Spetz 2014).

This report provides forecasts of regional RN supply and demand in the Central Valley region of California, based on a statewide projection model developed for the California Board of Registered

Nursing (BRN). The data used to construct the model were derived from the 2016 BRN Survey of Registered Nurses (Spetz, Chu, & Jura 2017), the 2015-2016 BRN Annual Schools Report (Blash & Spetz 2018), and BRN license records. The supply forecast is compared with several benchmarks of demand, including national ratios of RNs per capita, estimates of future hospital utilization, and projections published by the California Employment Development Department (EDD 2017).

Definition and Description of the Central Valley Region

The Central Valley region of California is defined by the counties of the San Joaquin Valley, Gold Country, and eastern Sierra: Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Fresno, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tulare, and Tuolumne. This region corresponds to the combined Employment Development Department regions of Eastern Sierra and Mother Lode and the metropolitan statistical areas of Bakersfield-Kern, Fresno, Hanford-Corcoran, Madera, Merced, Modesto, Stockton-Lodi, and Visalia-Porterville. There are 56 short-term general, children's, and specialty hospitals in the region, as well as ten associate degree (AD) and four bachelor's degree (BSN) RN education programs. There also is a satellite campus of National University in the region.

The Supply of RNs

In February 2018, there were 34,536 RNs with current, active licenses living in the Central Valley region. The RN workforce constantly changes with the entrance of newly graduated nurses; migration of nurses from other regions, states, and countries; retirements; temporary departures from nursing work; and fluctuations in the number of hours that nurses choose to work. These factors can be grouped into three categories:


- 1) Inflows of nurses: Additions to the number of RNs in the region

- a) Graduates from regional nursing programs
 - b) Graduates of nursing programs in other states and regions who obtain their first RN license in California and move to the region
 - c) Internationally-educated nurses who immigrate to the region and obtain their RN license
 - d) Inter-regional and interstate migration of RNs
 - e) Changes from inactive to active license status
 - f) Changes from lapsed to active license status
- 2) Outflows of nurses: The departure of RNs from the region
 - a) Migration out of region (to another region, state or country)
 - b) Movements from active to inactive or lapsed license status
 - 3) Labor force participation factors: Decisions to work, and how much to work
 - a) Share of RNs with active licenses that work in nursing
 - b) Average number of hours worked per week by RNs working in nursing

The inflows are added to the number of RNs living in the region with active licenses, which is called the “stock” of nurses available to work, and the outflows are subtracted from the stock. Estimates of the labor supply of RNs are derived from the stock of RNs potentially available to work and how much they choose to work in nursing. This number is expressed as full-time equivalent (FTE) employment in order to account for differences in the work commitments of those employed full-time and part-time. Figure 1 illustrates this model of the supply of RNs, commonly called a “stock-and-flow model.”

Method of calculating RN supply

As inflows, outflows, and employment decisions change over time, so does the RN workforce. The total supply of employed RNs is determined by the



age distribution of the stock of RNs, as well as of each inflow and outflow component. In the supply model, the number of RNs with active licenses who reside in the region is divided into 13 age categories: under 25, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75-79, and 80 and older. The model assumes that nurses are evenly distributed within each 5-year age group. Therefore, in each year, 20% of the RNs in each age group – or 1 in 5 RNs – moves into the next (older) age group, until they reach the oldest age group. The youngest age group (under 25) spans 7 years, but because there were so few RNs under 20 years old in 2018, the 20% assumption is used for this group as well.

For each year of the model, the inflow estimates are added to each age group and the outflow estimates are subtracted from each age group, resulting in a forecast of the new stock of RNs for the subsequent year. For each age category, the basic formula is:

Forecasted Supply of RNs next year
 = Current supply of RNs in current year
 + Estimated total inflows
 – Estimated total outflows.

Employment rates and hours worked per week in nursing are then applied to the estimated stock of RNs in each age group, resulting in an estimated FTE supply. This calculation is iterated through 2035 to obtain yearly forecasts of the region’s RN supply.

It is important to acknowledge sources of variability and uncertainty in the supply model. For example, in 2010 and 2012, a greater share of nurses over age 60 was employed as compared with prior years. This increase was likely the result of older nurses delaying retirement due to declines in the value of their retirement savings (Buerhaus & Auerbach 2011). More recent data indicate that employment of nurses in this age group has returned to lower pre-recession levels (Spetz, Chu, & Jura 2017). However, it also is possible that “baby boomer” nurses have different intentions regarding retirement than did previous generations, and that higher rates of employment in older age groups will reemerge as a result. This variability in estimated employment participation rates contributes to uncertainty in the supply model. Thus, a range of estimates representing the highest and lowest plausible values is used. In the final models, the “baseline estimate” for each parameter is the average of the low and high estimates, unless otherwise noted.

Stock of RNs in 2018

Data describing the number of RNs with active licenses in February, 2018, were obtained from the BRN. At that time, 34,536 RNs had active licenses and an address in the Central Valley region. Table 1 presents the number of actively licensed RNs living in the region for each age group.

Table 1. Counts of actively-licensed RNs living in the Central Valley region, by age group, February, 2018

Source: California BRN licensing records.

Age group	Count	% of total
Under 25	396	1.1%
25-29	2,854	8.3%
30-34	4,364	12.6%
35-39	4,232	12.3%
40-44	4,163	12.1%
45-49	4,350	12.6%
50-54	3,375	9.8%
55-59	3,771	10.9%
60-64	3,658	10.6%
65-69	2,111	6.1%
70-74	885	2.6%
75-79	283	0.8%
80+	94	0.3%
Total	34,536	100.0%

Graduates from RN education programs

RN education programs in the Central Valley region produced 1,161 new graduates during the 2016-2017 academic year, according to the BRN Annual School Report (Blash & Spetz 2018). Growth in new student enrollments leads to growth in graduates in future years. AD programs are designed so that students can complete the nursing component of the degree in two years, and in most BSN programs, students are formally enrolled in nursing major courses during the last two to three years of the program; the duration is shorter for accelerated BSN programs. In general, student enrollment changes translate to changes in the number of RN graduates two to three years in the future.

To predict the number of future graduates, actual new student enrollments in a given year were compared with the number of graduates two years later. In the Central Valley region from 2012-2013 through 2016-2017, on average, the number of graduates totaled 86.1% of the number of new student enrollments two years prior. This average

rate was used to estimate the number of future graduates as a function of new enrollments.

Forecasting the number of graduates beyond the 2018-2019 academic year is difficult because total new student enrollments after 2016-2017 are not yet known. As part of the BRN Annual School Survey, schools are asked to estimate future new student enrollment. For example, in the 2016-2017 survey, schools were asked to report expected student enrollment totals for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 academic years. Schools in the region estimated that 2017-2018 new student enrollments would be 1,208 and that 2018-2019 new student enrollments would be 1,244. These estimates were multiplied by 86.1% to obtain the forecasted number of graduates for 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. From these totals, seven projected graduates who attended satellite campuses in other regions were subtracted. The forecast model assumes that new student enrollments will be stable after the 2018-2019 academic year. Actual numbers of graduates from 2012-2013 through 2016-2017 and predicted numbers of graduated from 2017-2018 through 2020-2021 are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Actual and forecasted numbers of new RN enrollments and graduations

Source: 2016-2017 BRN Annual Schools Report: Data Summary and Historical Trend Analysis, 2018.

Academic year	Actual/forecasted new student enrollments	Actual/forecasted number of graduates
2012-2013	1,515*	1,467*
2013-2014	1,398*	1,402*
2014-2015	1,283*	1,112*
2015-2016	1,276*	1,097*
2016-2017	1,305*	1,161*
2017-2018	1,180	1,092
2018-2019	1,216	1,117
2019-2020		1,033
2020-2021		1,064

* Actual enrollments/graduates, which do not include satellite campuses.

Graduates from nursing programs in other states and countries

Each year, some graduates of nursing programs in other states obtain their first RN license in California. According to the BRN, in 2016, 28 such out-of-state graduates had an address in the Central Valley region. Additionally, in 2016, the BRN reported that 703 internationally-educated nurses passed the National Council Licensure Examination for RNs (NCLEX-RN) and received initial licensure as an RN in California, 51 of whom had an address in the Central Valley region.

Age distributions of new graduates and licensees

Inflows of new graduates are added to the stock of RNs by age group. The BRN Annual School Report uses an uneven set of age groups for new graduates: 18-25, 26-30, and then ten-year age groups for graduates over age 30. To be consistent with the forecasting model, the region’s new graduates were allocated into five-year age groups and assumed that graduates of nursing programs in other states who obtain initial RN licensure in California have the same age distribution as the region’s graduates.

BRN records of internationally-educated nurses who received initial U.S. licensure in California include the birth year, so these nurses were added to the model by age group. Table 3 presents the age distribution of new RN graduates used in the model.

Inter-region and interstate migration of RNs

Estimates of migration to the Central Valley region were calculated from BRN licensing files for 2016 and 2018, as well as from BRN records of nurses requesting endorsement of their out-of-state license to California in 2016. Inter-region migration was calculated by counting the total number of RNs who lived outside the Central Valley region in 2016 (including those with out-of-state addresses), who then reported a mailing address within the Central Valley region in 2018, and dividing the number by two to obtain an annual average for each age group. This was added to the number of RNs who requested endorsement of their license from another state in 2016 and reported a Central Valley region address.

Table 3. Estimated age distributions of new graduates

Sources: 2016-2017 BRN Annual Schools Report: Data Summary and Historical Trend Analysis, 2018, & California BRN licensing files.

Age group	Graduates of US RN programs	Internationally-educated graduates
18-25	29.9%	0.0%
26-29	26.9%	52.9%
30-34	15.7%	21.6%
35-39	15.7%	19.6%
40-44	4.7%	3.9%
45-49	4.7%	2.0%
50-54	0.8%	0.0%
55-59	0.8%	0.0%
60-64	0.9%	0.0%
65+	0.0%	0.0%

The region’s in-migration was computed as the sum of RNs who migrated to the Central Valley region divided by the total number of actively licensed RNs residing in the region in 2018 (per BRN licensing records). These data are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Movement of RNs into Central Valley region

Source: California BRN licensing records.

Age group	Average annual number moving to region 2016-18	Number requesting endorsement	Total as a share of RNs living in region
Under 25	12	13	6.3%
25-29	116	53	5.9%
30-34	117	44	3.7%
35-39	96	32	3.0%
40-44	105	20	3.0%
45-49	70	11	1.9%
50-54	65	13	2.3%
55-59	66	11	2.0%
60-64	59	6	1.8%
Over 64	32	1	1.0%
Total	738	204	

Movements from inactive and delinquent to active license status

BRN data were obtained describing the number of RNs changing from inactive to active license status and from delinquent to active status in 2016, by age group. These data are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Number and age distribution of RNs changing status from inactive or delinquent to active license status, Central Valley region, 2016

Source: California BRN licensing records.

Age group	Count	% of total
Under 30	12	2.2%
30-34	36	6.5%
35-39	24	4.4%
40-44	38	6.9%
45-49	67	12.2%
50-54	62	11.3%
55-59	68	12.3%
60-64	85	15.4%
65-69	86	15.6%
70-74	40	7.3%
75+	33	6.0%
Total	551	100.0%

Migration out of the region

Estimates of migration out of the Central Valley region to other regions or states were derived from 2016 and 2018 BRN licensing files. Out-migration was calculated by taking the total number of RNs in each group who lived in the Central Valley region in 2016, but then reported a mailing address outside of the region in 2018, and dividing it by two to obtain an annual average. The region’s out-migration rate was computed as the sum of RNs who left the Central Valley region divided by the total number of actively licensed RNs residing in the region in 2018, by age group (per BRN licensing records). Table 6 presents the rates used in the model.

Table 6. Estimated annual rates of RNs migrating out of the Central Valley region

Source: California BRN licensing records.

Age group	Average annual number moving out of region 2016-18	Total as a share of RNs living in region
Under 25	24.5	6.2%
25-29	128.5	4.5%
30-34	136.5	3.1%
35-39	97.5	2.3%
40-44	82.5	2.0%
45-49	70.5	1.6%
50-54	71	2.1%
55-59	71	1.9%
60-64	60.5	1.7%
65-69	29	1.4%
70-74	5	0.6%
75+	0	0.0%
Total	776.5	

Movements from active to inactive or lapsed license status

Estimates of the rate at which actively-licensed RNs allow their licenses to lapse were computed from BRN licensing files for 2016 and 2018. The number of RNs who lived in the Central Valley region in 2016 but who were no longer actively licensed in 2018 was calculated and divided by two to obtain an annual average for each age group. This was divided by the number of RNs in each age group in 2018 to obtain the rates at which RNs allow their licenses to lapse or become inactive. The data are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Estimated annual rates of RNs allowing licenses to lapse or become inactive

Source: California BRN licensing records.

Age group	Average annual number changing to lapsed/inactive status 2016-18	Total as a share of RNs living in region
Under 25	2.5	0.6%
25-29	32	1.1%
30-34	54.5	1.2%
35-39	45	1.1%
40-44	49.5	1.2%
45-49	45.5	1.0%
50-54	60	1.8%
55-59	100.5	2.7%
60-64	201	5.5%
65-69	197	9.3%
70-74	175.5	13.9%
Total	963	


Supply forecasts of the region’s RN workforce

Figure 2 presents the supply forecasts based on the projection model described above. A range of supply

estimates were calculated; the baseline forecast assumes that RN education programs maintain the number of graduates, after 2022, the low forecast assumes that RN graduations shrink by 1% per year, and the high model assumes that graduations increase by 1% per year after 2022.

The forecasted number of RNs with active licenses does not account for variation in hours worked, or the fact that some RNs with active licenses do not work in nursing. Employment rates by age groups have varied since 2008, likely due to the economic recession that began in late 2007. During the recession, younger nurses were employed at lower rates and older nurses were employed at higher rates than in other years. To account for variation in employment rates over time, multiple years of data were examined. The proportion of RNs in the Central Valley region employed in nursing in 2016, by age group, was calculated from the 2016 BRN Survey of RNs. Statewide employment rates by age group were obtained from BRN Surveys of RNs from 2008 through 2016 (Spetz, Chu, & Jura 2017). The employment rate used for the “low” forecast was the lowest of these employment rates, and the

Figure 2. Forecasted number of RNs with active licenses residing in the Central Valley region



employment rate used for the “high” forecast was the highest of these rates. The baseline estimate is the average of the low and high rates and is presented in Table 8.

Table 8. Employment rates of RNs in the Central Valley region

Source: Spetz, J, Chu, L, Jura, M. 2017. 2016 Survey of Registered Nurses. Sacramento, CA: California Board of Registered Nursing.

Age group	Actual region 2016	Low estimate	High estimate	Baseline estimate
Under 25	100.0%	89.6%	100.0%	94.8%
25-29	96.2%	93.5%	97.4%	95.4%
30-34	95.1%	92.1%	95.5%	93.8%
35-39	97.4%	92.3%	97.4%	94.9%
40-44	96.7%	89.7%	96.7%	93.2%
45-49	97.9%	92.1%	97.9%	95.0%
50-54	91.0%	89.8%	91.0%	90.4%
55-59	86.0%	85.3%	87.5%	86.4%
60-64	62.4%	62.4%	78.5%	70.4%
65-69	57.9%	57.5%	65.2%	61.3%
70-74	38.5%	38.5%	43.0%	40.7%
75-79	0.0%	0.0%	36.0%	18.0%
80+	50.0%	23.3%	50.0%	36.7%

The supply model also utilized data from the 2016 BRN Survey of RNs to calculate average usual hours worked per week in all nursing jobs in the Central Valley region, by age group, as well as statewide average hours per week from 2008 through 2016 (Spetz, Chu, & Jura 2017). Estimated hours per week were divided by 40 to obtain the average full-time equivalent employment (FTE) for each age group. In the forecasts, the high for each age group is the highest of these FTE rates and the low estimate is the lowest of the FTE rates. The baseline estimate is the average of the high and low estimates and are presented in Table 9.


Table 9. Hours worked per week by employed RNs in the Central Valley region

Source: Spetz, J, Chu, L, Jura, M. 2017. 2016 Survey of Registered Nurses. Sacramento, CA: California Board of Registered Nursing.

Age group	Actual region 2016	Low estimate	High estimate	Baseline estimate
Under 25	37.9	37.9	47.1	42.5
25-29	40.0	35.8	40.0	37.9
30-34	39.3	35.8	39.3	37.5
35-39	36.0	35.8	36.2	36.0
40-44	38.5	36.4	38.5	37.5
45-49	36.8	36.7	37.4	37.1
50-54	42.9	36.9	42.9	39.9
55-59	38.5	36.6	38.5	37.6
60-64	38.4	35.3	38.4	36.9
65-69	36.4	32.0	36.4	34.2
70-74	29.2	24.0	29.2	26.6
75-79	34.8	18.8	34.8	26.8
80+	12.0	12.0	31.1	21.6

Figure 3 presents projected high, low, and baseline estimates of FTE supply of actively licensed RNs for the Central Valley region. The estimates for 2035 range from 31,643 to 41,130, demonstrating the importance of assumptions about education program growth and labor force participation of RNs.

Figure 3. Forecasted full-time equivalent supply of RNs, 2018-2035



The Demand for RNs

The demand for RNs can be measured and forecasted in many ways, reflecting disparate notions of what demand is or should be. Many policymakers and health planners consider population needs as the primary factor in determining demand for health care workers. For example, the World Health Organization has established a goal of countries needing a minimum of 2.28 health care professionals per 1,000 population in order to achieve the goal of 80% of newborn deliveries being attended by a skilled birth attendant (WHO 2006). Similarly, demand for RNs could be defined as a specific number of nurses per capita. It is important to recognize, however, that demand based on population needs is not the same thing as demand based on economic factors. Nurses and other health professionals are not free, and the cost of employing them must be weighed against other uses of resources. A nurse employer might want to hire more nurses but may not have sufficient income from its patient care services to afford more nurses. An employer might have resources that could be used to hire more nurses, but decide that investment in a

new electronic health record will produce more value to patients. In this context, demand for nurses is derived from economic forces, which may not be aligned with population needs.

For this report, different measures of demand (or need) were considered in order to develop a range of plausible estimates of future demand for RNs. The approaches used were:

- Fixed benchmarks based on current RN-to-population ratios in the region
- Fixed benchmarks based on U.S. RN-to-population ratios
- Demand forecasts based on 2015 hospital patient days, employment in hospitals, and future population growth and aging
- Regional employment forecasts for 2024 published by the California Employment Development Department (EDD 2017)

Forecasts based on RNs per capita

One frequently-used benchmark of the need for RNs is the number of employed RNs per 100,000

population. For decades, California has had one of the lowest ratios of employed RNs per capita in the U.S., usually ranking in the bottom 5 nationwide. Many policy advocates have supported efforts to increase California's FTE employment of RNs per capita to be on par with that of other states, targeting either the current 25th percentile ratio (916 RNs per 100,000) or the national average ratio (1,038 RNs per 100,000). Data on the current and forecasted population of the Central Valley region (California Department of Finance 2018) were used to calculate the number of RNs that would be needed to maintain the current RN-to-population ratios, reach the 25th percentile ratio, and reach the national average ratio.

The main shortcoming of targeting a fixed number of RNs per population, such as a national average, is that the target may not reflect the unique population and health care system of the state or region. An additional shortcoming is that fixed nurse-to-population ratios do not account for increased demand for health care services resulting from an increase in the number of persons with insurance coverage or an aging population.

Forecasts based on hospital staffing of RNs per patient day

A second approach to forecasting demand for RNs is to use current hospital utilization and staffing patterns to estimate growth in future demand for RNs. The first step in this process was to obtain the total number of hospital patient discharges in 2015 (the most recent data available) from short-term, acute-care hospitals in the Central Valley region (Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development 2016). In order to estimate the total number of patient days per age group (10-year ranges), these data were multiplied by the average length of stay per age group, as reported by Hospital National Inpatient Statistics (AHRQ 2014).

To calculate the rate of hospital utilization per age group, the total number of patient days per age group was divided by the estimated population of each age group in the region. Age-specific population estimates and forecasts were sourced from the

California Department of Finance (2018). These rates of patient days per age group were then applied to the population projections to forecast total patient days by age group.

To produce forecasts of hospital demand for RNs, RN hours per patient day were calculated using OSHPD's Hospital Annual Financial Data (Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development 2017). In 2016, a total of 23,655,585 productive RN hours were reported by hospitals in the Central Valley region. The number of RN hours per discharge was calculated by dividing total productive RN hours by the number of patient days in 2017, resulting in an estimated 13.38 productive RN hours per patient day. Multiplying the number of productive RN hours per patient day by the forecasted total number of patient days produces an estimate of hospital-based RN hours needed in the future. To equate these estimates to FTE jobs, RN hours were divided by 1,768 (average annual productive hours per FTE), resulting in 13,380 FTE RN employment in 2017.

The calculations described above provide demand forecasts for only one type of care setting (hospitals), and only for a subset of hospitals (long-term hospitals and federal hospitals are not included in the calculations). The 2016 BRN Survey of Registered Nurses indicates that total FTE employment in the Central Valley region was 28,437 (Spetz et al. 2017); thus, total RN employment was 2.13 times greater than hospital RN employment. To forecast total demand for RNs, it was assumed that total RN demand would continue to be 2.13 times greater than hospital RN demand in future years. The projections indicate there will be a need for 18,427 FTE RNs in hospitals and 39,164 FTE RNs throughout the region in 2035.

Employment Development Department forecasts

The most recent projection by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) indicates that there will be 20,790 RN jobs in the Central Valley region in 2024 (California Employment Development Department 2018), excluding Madera county for which EDD did not

provide a projection. The EDD projection does not distinguish between full-time and part-time jobs. To estimate the FTE employment implied by the EDD projection, an adjustment of 0.949 was used, which is the average number of hours worked per week by RNs in the region in 2016 (37.95), divided by 40 (Spetz, Chu, and Jura 2017). This results in a projected 19,722 FTE jobs across the region in 2024.

Comparing the demand forecasts


Figure 4 compares alternative forecasts of demand for full-time equivalent RNs. In order to maintain the current RN-to-population ratio in the Central Valley region, 32,975 FTE RNs will be needed in 2035. The forecast based on projected growth in hospital utilization results in 36,824 FTE RNs needed in 2035. To reach the national average ratio of RNs per population, 47,664 FTE RNs will be needed in 2035. Figure 4 also shows that the projected number of FTE RN jobs in 2024 derived from EDD is far below the other projections.

Comparing Supply and Demand for RNs

Figure 5 compares the baseline supply forecast and the low supply forecast with three alternate demand forecasts: (1) demand based on attaining the national per capita ratio at the 25th percentile; (2) demand based on attaining the national average per capita ratio; and (3) demand based on forecasted growth in hospital patient days. All forecasts are for FTE employment.

The baseline supply forecast estimates that in 2018 there were 27,771 FTE RNs available to work; the low supply forecast estimates there were 25,821 FTEs. The projections of RN demand based on hours per patient day (OSHPD data) indicate there was demand for 28,954 RNs that year, suggesting the market was in shortage. It is worth noting that RN supply in 2018 was 25.2% lower than demand based on the national per capita ratio at the 25th percentile, which is consistent with the estimate that the region now has an RN shortage. In the long term, the baseline supply forecast predicts that nurse supply

Figure 4. Forecasted full-time equivalent demand for RNs, 2018-2035



will increase more slowly than the Central Valley region’s population as a whole, and RN supply will not keep up with rising demand.


Additional factors that affect regional RN shortages

Some RNs travel across regions for work, which could result in fewer or more nurses working in the Central Valley region. Data from the 2016 BRN Survey of RNs indicate that 91.8% of employed RNs who lived in the Central Valley region also worked in the region. Approximately 1,064 RNs worked in the San Francisco Bay Area region (3.9%), 509 worked in the Sacramento region (1.9%), 495 worked in the Los Angeles area (1.8%), and small numbers worked in the Central Coast and Inland Empire regions. Conversely, some nurses living in other regions worked in the Northern region: approximately 648 from Sacramento, and 16 from the Central Coast. In sum, in 2016 the Central Valley region had an estimated 1,500 more RNs crossing regional boundaries to work outside the region versus to work within the region.

A second factor that may affect the supply of RNs is that some are also advanced practice RNs (APRNs) – nurse practitioners (NPs), certified nurse-midwives (CNMs), clinical nurse specialists (CNSs), and nurse anesthetists (CRNAs). Both the supply projections and the projections of demand for RNs based on RN-to-population ratios and hospital patient utilization treat all these APRNs as RNs. The EDD projection does not include APRNs. In the Central Valley region, 5.4% of RNs are NPs, 0.5% are CNMs, and 0.5% are CRNAs. If these APRNs are not considered part of the RN supply, together they reduce the region’s baseline supply by approximately 6.4% (1,820 RNs).

Hospital employment data sourced from OSHPD were examined to identify the number of RN hours worked by contract personnel in 2016. Use of contract staff by hospitals may indicate the degree to which hospitals are experiencing a shortage of RNs with the skills required for open positions. However, since contract personnel are used to fill gaps during staff vacations and leaves of absence, as well as normal seasonal fluctuations in hospital utilization,

Figure 5. Forecasted full-time equivalent supply and demand for RNs, 2018-2035



this is not a perfect measure of the magnitude of RN shortage. The OSHPD data indicated that the average share of hospital RN hours provided by contract staff in the Central Valley region was 7.1%, which was equivalent to a total of 793 FTE RNs.

Overall assessment of RN labor market in the region

Together, data on inter-regional commuting, the size of the advanced practice workforce, and the employment of agency personnel suggest that RN supply in the Central Valley region might be 3,300 fewer than the model calculation, and demand might be approximately 800 greater in 2018. The baseline supply and demand forecasts estimate that the region has a shortage of more than 1,000 FTE RNs, and addition of inter-region commuting and the use of contract RNs suggests that the total shortage may be more than 5,000 FTE RNs (17.3%) in 2018. Moreover, the forecasts indicate that this shortage will worsen in the future in the Central Valley.

Policy Implications

The Central Valley region of California appears to have an RN shortage in 2018, which will worsen in coming years unless actions are taken to increase the supply of RNs in the region. Educational capacity in the Central Valley is not large enough to maintain the RN workforce as the population grows, and there is not enough migration of RNs to the Central Valley to fill the gap.

These projections could change if any of the variables in the model change. The most important changes that could change the projections are increases or decreases in: (1) the number of graduates from RN education programs; (2) inter-regional migration; and/or (3) employment rates of RNs. These factors and any other potential influences on the Central Valley region's nursing supply, such as the limited pool of faculty, limited availability of clinical education placements, and faculty salaries that are not competitive with clinical practice positions, should be monitored continuously.

Regional health care and education leaders should track the employment paths of recent nursing graduates as they develop specialized skills to fill the roles of experienced nurses who will retire in the near future. Moreover, they should monitor new student enrollments in nursing programs, as well as the degree to which employers are reliant on contract personnel and commuters, to determine the extent to which local RN education programs should expand.

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). 2014. Hospital National Inpatient Statistics. Retrieved via HCUPNet: Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Rockville MD: U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. <https://hcupnet.ahrq.gov/#setup>
- Blash, L, Spetz, J. 2018. 2016-2017 Annual School Report: Data Summary and Historical Trend Analysis. Sacramento, CA: California Board of Registered Nursing.
- Buerhaus, PI, Auerbach, DI. 2011. The Recession's Effect on Hospital Registered Nurse Employment Growth. *Nursing Economics* 29 (4): 163-167.
- California Department of Finance. 2018. State of California, Department of Finance, State and County Total Population Projections by Race/Ethnicity and Detailed Age 2010 through 2060 (as of July 1). Sacramento, CA: California Department of Finance. Available from: <http://dof.ca.gov/Forecasting/Demographics/Projections/>
- California Employment Development Department (EDD). 2018. California Occupational Employment Projections, 2014-2024. Sacramento, CA: Labor Market Information Division, California Employment Development Department.
- California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD). 2017. Annual Financial Data Pivot Profiles, 2016. Sacramento, CA: California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. Available at


<http://www.oshpd.ca.gov/HID/Products/Hospitals/AnnFinanData/PivotProfles/default.asp>

- Charles, SA. 2015. Adult Medi-Cal Enrollment Surges, Uninsured Rate Plummets in 2014. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA Center for Health Policy Research.
<http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2015/Medi-Cal-factsheet-aug2015.pdf>.
- Chu, L, Bates, T, Spetz, J. 2017. Survey of Nursing Employers in California, Fall 2016. San Francisco, CA: Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies, University of California, San Francisco.
- Cohen, RA, Martinez, ME, Zammitti, EP. 2016. Health Insurance Coverage: Early Release of Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey, 2015. Hyattsville MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
- Employment Development Department. 2017. 2014-2024 Occupational Employment Projections. Sacramento, CA: Labor Market Information Division, Employment Development Department.
- HealthImpact. 2018. 2016-2017 California New Graduate Employment Survey. Oakland, CA: HealthImpact.
- Spetz, J. 2014. Economics of Health Care and Nursing: How Will Health Reform Affect Demand for RNs? *Nursing Economics* 32 (1): 42-43.
- Spetz, J, Chu, L, Jura, M. 2017. 2016 Survey of Registered Nurses. Sacramento, CA: California Board of Registered Nursing.

**Healthforce
Center** at UCSF

The mission of the Healthforce Center is to equip health care organizations with the workforce knowledge and leadership skills to effect positive change.

Healthforce Center at UCSF 3333 California Street, Suite 410 San Francisco, CA 94118

A dark, monochromatic photograph of three healthcare professionals in a clinical setting. They are looking down at a tablet held by the person in the center. The image is overlaid with white text.

Registered Nurses in Porterville LABOR MKT

Registered Nurses (SOC 29-1141):

Assess patient health problems and needs, develop and implement nursing care plans, and maintain medical records. Administer nursing care to ill, injured, convalescent, or disabled patients. May advise patients on health maintenance and disease prevention or provide case management. Licensing or registration required. Includes Clinical Nurse Specialists. Excludes 'Nurse Anesthetists' (29-1151), 'Nurse Midwives' (29-1161), and 'Nurse Practitioners' (29-1171).

Sample of Reported Job Titles:

Registered Nurse (RN)
Public Health Nurse (PHN)
Staff Nurse
Progressive Care Unit Registered Nurse
Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse (PMH Nurse)
Psychiatric Clinical Nurse Specialist
ICU Nurse (Intensive Care Unit Nurse)
Critical Care Registered Nurse (CCRN)
Clinical Nurse Specialist
Pediatric Clinical Nurse Specialist

Related O*NET Occupations:

Registered Nurses (29-1141.00)
Acute Care Nurses (29-1141.01)
Advanced Practice Psychiatric Nurses (29-1141.02)
Critical Care Nurses (29-1141.03)
Clinical Nurse Specialists (29-1141.04)

Contents

What is Emsi Data?	1
Report Parameters	2
Executive Summary	3
Jobs	4
Compensation	6
Occupational Programs	7
Appendix A (Geographies)	8

What is Emsi Data?

Emsi data is a hybrid dataset derived from official government sources such as the US Census Bureau, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Bureau of Labor Statistics. Leveraging the unique strengths of each source, our data modeling team creates an authoritative dataset that captures more than 99% of all workers in the United States. This core offering is then enriched with data from online social profiles, resumés, and job postings to give you a complete view of the workforce.

Emsi data is frequently cited in major publications such as *The Atlantic*, *Forbes*, *Harvard Business Review*, *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, and *USA Today*.

 The Atlantic Forbes Harvard Business Review The New York Times WSJ USA TODAY

Report Parameters

1 Occupation

29-1141 Registered Nurses

45 ZIPs

3201	Alpaugh, CA (in Tulare county)	93218	Ducor, CA (in Tulare county)
93207	California Hot Springs, CA (in Tulare count	93219	Earlimart, CA (in Tulare county)
93208	Camp Nelson, CA (in Tulare county)	93221	Exeter, CA (in Tulare county)
93215	Delano, CA (in Kern county)	93223	Farmersville, CA (in Tulare county)
93216	Delano, CA (in Kern county)		<i>See Appendix A for all 45 ZIPs</i>

Class of Worker

QCEW Employees, Non-QCEW Employees, Self-Employed, and Extended Proprietors

The information in this report pertains to the chosen occupation and geographical areas.

Executive Summary

Unknown Job Posting Demand Over a Thin Supply of Regional Jobs



Jobs (2018)

Your area is not a hotspot for this kind of job. The national average for an area this size is 3,702* employees, while there are 2,461 here.



Compensation

Earnings are high in your area. The national median salary for Registered Nurses is \$71,559, compared to \$89,025 here.



Job Posting Demand


Job postings analysis is not available for ZIP codes. Please select county level or higher to unlock this section.

*National average values are derived by taking the national value for Registered Nurses and scaling it down to account for the difference in overall workforce size between the nation and your area. In other words, the values represent the national average adjusted for region size.

Jobs

Regional Employment Is Lower Than the National Average

An average area of this size typically has 3,702* jobs, while there are 2,461 here. This lower than average supply of jobs may make it more difficult for workers in this field to find employment in your area.



Region	2018 Jobs	2028 Jobs	Change	% Change
● Porterville LABOR MKT	2,461	2,603	142	5.8%
● National Average	3,702	4,182	480	13.0%
● Tulare County	2,127	2,207	80	3.8%
● Four County	7,792	9,081	1,289	16.5%
● California	310,487	365,717	55,231	17.8%
● United States	3,061,498	3,524,574	463,076	15.1%


*National average values are derived by taking the national value for Registered Nurses and scaling it down to account for the difference in overall workforce size between the nation and your area. In other words, the values represent the national average adjusted for region size.

Regional Breakdown



ZIP	2018 Jobs
Visalia, CA 93291 (in Tulare county)	1,147
Porterville, CA 93257 (in Tulare county)	537
Delano, CA 93215 (in Kern county)	295
Visalia, CA 93277 (in Tulare county)	161
Tulare, CA 93274 (in Tulare county)	109

Most Jobs are Found in the Education and Hospitals (Local Government) Industry Sector



Industry	% of Occupation in Industry (2018)
● Education and Hospitals (Local Government)	48.8%
● Education and Hospitals (State Government)	10.6%
● General Medical and Surgical Hospitals	9.4%
● Offices of Physicians	5.9%
● Nursing Care Facilities (Skilled Nursing Facilities)	5.9%
● Outpatient Care Centers	5.7%
● Other	13.7%

Compensation

Regional Compensation Is 24% Higher Than National Compensation

For Registered Nurses, the 2018 median wage in your area is \$89,025, while the national median wage is \$71,559.



Occupational Programs



1 Program

Of the programs that can train for this job, 1 has produced completions in the last 5 years.



186 Completions (2018)

The completions from all regional institutions for all degree types.



164 Openings (2018)

The average number of openings for an occupation in the region is 44.

CIP Code	Top Programs	Completions (2018)
51.3801	Registered Nursing/Registered Nurse	186

Top Schools	Completions (2018)
San Joaquin Valley College-Visalia	90
College of the Sequoias	77
Porterville College	19

[Print This Page](#)

**Registered Nurses
Estimated Employment and Projected Growth**

Geographic Area (Estimated Year-Projected Year)	Estimated Employment	Projected Employment	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Additional Openings Due to Net Replacement
California (2016-2026)	282,000	327,800	45,800	16.2	N/A
Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine Area (2016-2026)	21,720	24,730	3,010	13.9	3,010
Butte County (2016-2026)	2,510	2,610	100	4.0	100
East Bay Area (2016-2026)	20,080	24,840	4,760	23.7	4,760
Eastern Sierra Region (2016-2026)	1,120	1,360	240	21.4	240
Fresno County (2016-2026)	7,170	8,090	920	12.8	920
Imperial County (2016-2026)	820	960	140	17.1	140
Inland Empire Area (2016-2026)	28,700	33,140	4,440	15.5	4,440
Kern County (2016-2026)	5,020	5,830	810	16.1	810
Kings County (2016-2026)	890	970	80	9.0	80
Los Angeles County (2016-2026)	76,880	90,300	13,420	17.5	13,430
Merced County	880	1,020	140	15.9	140

(2016-2026)					
Monterey County (2016-2026)	2,600	3,110	510	19.6	510
Mother Lode Region (2016-2026)	820	1,030	210	25.6	200
Napa County (2016-2026)	1,460	1,540	80	5.5	90
North Coast Region (2016-2026)	2,140	2,520	380	17.8	380
North Valley Region (2016-2026)	310	300	-10	-3.2	
Northern Counties Region (2016-2026)	200	320	120	60.0	120
Orange County (2016-2026)	21,720	24,730	3,010	13.9	3,010
Sacramento Metro Area (2016-2026)	19,090	23,170	4,080	21.4	4,080
San Benito and Santa Clara Counties (2016-2026)	15,820	18,470	2,650	16.8	2,650
San Diego County (2016-2026)	23,720	26,970	3,250	13.7	3,240
San Francisco Bay Area (2016-2026)	15,820	17,310	1,490	9.4	1,500
San Luis Obispo County (2016-2026)	1,830	2,130	300	16.4	300
San Rafael Area (2016-2026)	1,830	2,320	490	26.8	500

Santa Barbara County (2016-2026)	2,810	3,110	300	10.7	300
Santa Cruz County (2016-2026)	1,520	1,790	270	17.8	270
Santa Maria-Santa Barbara Area (2016-2026)	2,810	3,110	300	10.7	300
Shasta County (2016-2026)	1,790	1,930	140	7.8	140
Solano County (2016-2026)	2,970	3,810	840	28.3	840
Sonoma County (2016-2026)	3,500	4,230	730	20.9	720
Stanislaus County (2016-2026)	4,110	5,250	1,140	27.7	1,140
Sutter and Yuba Counties (2016-2026)	950	1,050	100	10.5	100
Tulare County (2016-2026)	2,140	2,420	280	13.1	280
Ventura County (2016-2026)	4,630	5,430	800	17.3	790

Source: Employment Development Department
Labor Market Information Division
<https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/>



PERKINS IV Core Indicators of Performance by 6-digit Vocational TOP Code

Summary Detail Report for 2017-2018 Fiscal Year Planning

PORTERVILLE COLLEGE

123010 Registered Nursing

	Core 1 Skill Attainment			Core 2 Completions			Core 3 Persistence		
	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total
Program Area Total	92.86	39	42	100.00	36	36	84.21	32	38
Female	91.43	32	35	100.00	30	30	90.63	29	32
Male	100.00	7	7	100.00	6	6	50.00	3	6
Non-traditional	100.00	7	7	100.00	6	6	50.00	3	6
Displaced Homemaker		0	0		0	0		0	0
Economically Disadvantaged	93.94	31	33	100.00	30	30	93.55	29	31
Limited English Proficiency		0	0		0	0		0	0
Single Parent	100.00	2	2	100.00	2	2	100.00	2	2
Students with Disabilities	88.89	8	9	100.00	7	7	100.00	9	9
Technical Preparation		0	0		0	0		0	0
District	97.03	327	337	99.63	269	270	85.16	264	310
State	95.13	95,416	100,301	99.32	76,726	77,254	87.61	82,546	94,218

	Core 4 Employment			Core 5a NT Participation			Core 5b NT Completion		
	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total
Program Area Total	90.48	19	21	16.67	7	42	16.67	6	36
Female	93.33	14	15	0.00	0	35	0.00	0	30
Male	83.33	5	6	100.00	7	7	100.00	6	6
Non-traditional	83.33	5	6	16.67	7	42	16.67	6	36
Displaced Homemaker		0	0		0	0		0	0
Economically Disadvantaged	85.71	12	14	12.12	4	33	13.33	4	30
Limited English Proficiency		0	0		0	0		0	0
Single Parent	100.00	1	1	0.00	0	2	0.00	0	2
Students with Disabilities	100.00	3	3	22.22	2	9	28.57	2	7
Technical Preparation		0	0		0	0		0	0
District	95.30	142	149	10.39	35	337	11.15	31	278
State	90.10	34,662	38,472	18.52	22,978	124,100	18.66	18,986	101,752

The DR notation indicates privacy requirements - EDD requires that counts less than six not be displayed.

Performance Rate Less Than Goal is Shaded

- Core 1 - Skill Attainment, GPA 2.0 & Above: 88.06% Performance Goal - (2014- 2015)
- Core 2 - Completions, Certificates, Degrees and Transfer Ready: 85.31% Performance Goal - (2014- 2015)
- Core 3 - Persistence in Higher Education: 89.80% Performance Goal - (2014- 2015)
- Core 4 - Employment: 68.00% Performance Goal - (2014- 2015)
- Core 5 - Training Leading to Non-traditional Employment: Greater than 21.36% Participation & 23.54% Completion - (2014- 2015)



PERKINS IV Core Indicators of Performance by 6-digit Vocational TOP Code

Summary Detail Report for 2018-2019 Fiscal Year Planning

PORTERVILLE COLLEGE

123010 Registered Nursing

	Core 1 Skill Attainment			Core 2 Completions			Core 3 Persistence		
	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total
Program Area Total	92.16	47	51	100.00	40	40	98.04	50	51
Female	92.31	36	39	100.00	32	32	97.44	38	39
Male	90.91	10	11	100.00	7	7	100.00	11	11
Non-traditional	90.91	10	11	100.00	7	7	100.00	11	11
Displaced Homemaker		0	0		0	0		0	0
Economically Disadvantaged	93.18	41	44	100.00	35	35	100.00	44	44
Limited English Proficiency		0	0		0	0		0	0
Single Parent	100.00	1	1		0	0	100.00	1	1
Students with Disabilities	100.00	11	11	100.00	10	10	100.00	11	11
Technical Preparation		0	0		0	0		0	0
District	94.60	333	352	100.00	259	259	94.81	329	347
State	95.18	95,047	99,865	99.29	77,835	78,392	89.32	83,861	93,893

	Core 4 Employment			Core 5a NT Participation			Core 5b NT Completion		
	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total	Percent	Count	Total
Program Area Total	76.47	13	17	21.57	11	51	17.07	7	41
Female	80.00	12	15	0.00	0	39	0.00	0	33
Male	50.00	1	2	100.00	11	11	100.00	7	7
Non-traditional	50.00	1	2	21.57	11	51	17.07	7	41
Displaced Homemaker		0	0		0	0		0	0
Economically Disadvantaged	78.57	11	14	22.73	10	44	16.67	6	36
Limited English Proficiency		0	0		0	0		0	0
Single Parent		0	0	0.00	0	1		0	0
Students with Disabilities	100.00	3	3	9.09	1	11	9.09	1	11
Technical Preparation		0	0		0	0		0	0
District	94.29	99	105	13.64	48	352	13.11	35	267
State	90.14	33,549	37,218	19.47	23,965	123,101	19.02	19,253	101,242

The DR notation indicates privacy requirements - EDD requires that counts less than six not be displayed.

Performance Rate Less Than Goal is Shaded

- Core 1 - Skill Attainment, GPA 2.0 & Above: 87.56% Performance Goal - (2015- 2016)
- Core 2 - Completions, Certificates, Degrees and Transfer Ready: 87.02% Performance Goal - (2015- 2016)
- Core 3 - Persistence in Higher Education: 90.00% Performance Goal - (2015- 2016)
- Core 4 - Employment: 72.00% Performance Goal - (2015- 2016)
- Core 5 - Training Leading to Non-traditional Employment: Greater than 21.46% Participation & 23.56% Completion - (2015- 2016)